

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,813	11/24/2003	Eliezer Krausz	P-5393-US	3466	
27130 7	590 12/17/2004		EXAM	INER	
•	RL, LATZER & COHE	BOCHNA, DAVID			
10 ROCKEFEI NEW YORK.	LLER PLAZA, SUITE 100 NY 10020	)1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3679		
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				اسرا ا			
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V			
		10/718,813	KRAUSZ ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>			
		David E. Bochna	3679				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	5S			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT assions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to precede the provisions of the provision of the provi	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rition.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirly period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this community  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status 							
	Responsive to communication(s) filed or						
· —	•	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	·				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	,	• •	, ,			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc  2. Certified copies of the priority doc  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International feet the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A re priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Sta	ge			
Attachmen	• •	-					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-S	4) Linterview S  A48) Paper Note	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infori	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO r No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henderson et al. in view of Zine, Jr.

In regard to claim 1, Henderson et al. discloses a pipe clamp 28 for pipes repair by means of a metal clamping band to surround the pipes, and a flexible inner sleeve 24 disposed inside the clamping band; the improvement being the provision of a reinforcing material bonded to the flexible inner sleeve or embedded therein to inhibit axial expansion thereof when the inner sleeve is under compression between the pipes and the clamping band. Henderson et al. discloses embedding fibers within the sleeve (see col. 3, lines 19-28), which inherently means that at least some of the embedded fiber material would be coaxial with the pipe clamp. Henderson also discloses molding the inner sleeve with fibers so that the compression from the seal jacket can withstand the pressures from the leak at the leak point to eliminate any outwardly directed pressure points on the end seals (see col. 4, lines 10-22). Zine, Jr. teaches applying fibers in an axial direction to increase the strength of the jacket. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the jacket of Henderson et al. to include axially placed fibers, as taught by Zine, Jr., in order to increase the strength of the jacket to better withstand the pressure points generated by the leaking holes.

In regard to claim 2, the textile reinforcing material is an aramide fiber.

In regard to claim 3, the reinforcing material is embedded within the sleeve (see col. 3, lines 19-28).

In regard to claim 4, the reinforcing material is bonded within the sleeve (see col. 3, lines 19-28 where the fibers are blended with the silicon sealant, thereby bonding the reinforcing material with the sleeve).

In regard to claim 5, the flexible inner sleeve 24 is provided with sealing lips 32 on its inner face to contact the pipes.

3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henderson et al. in view of Zine, Jr. and further in view of Hauffe.

Henderson et al. discloses a pipe clamp as described above, but does not disclose that the inner sleeve carries an array of depressions over its inner face. Hauffe teaches providing a sleeve with an array of depressions so that the interior of the band can press against the pipe wall to provide a fluid-tight seal therewith. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an array of depressions to the sleeve of Henderson et al., as taught by Hauffe, so that the sleeve could more efficiently seal against the exterior surfaces of the pipes.

4. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henderson et al. in view of Zine, Jr. and further in view of Morriss, Jr.

Henderson et al. discloses a pipe clamp as described above, but does not disclose that the sleeve has a tapered overlapped section. Morriss, Jr. teaches providing a sleeve with tapered overlapped sections attached to the band so that the sleeve can better seal at the band joints.

Art Unit: 3679

Therefore it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add tapered overlapping sections to the sleeve of Henderson et al., as taught by Morris, Jr., so that the sleeve could more efficiently seal at the point where two band ends are joined.

# Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Bochna whose telephone number is (703) 306-9040. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30 Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,813 Page 5

Art Unit: 3679

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

David Bochna

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3679

**December 10, 2004**